



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/572,954

06/10/2008

Min-Woo Lee

P-0769

4793

34610 7590 01/19/2011

KED & ASSOCIATES, LLP

P.O. Box 8638

Reston, VA 20195

EXAMINER

JACOBS, TODD D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3746

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/19/2011

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/572,954	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LEE, MIN-WOO	
	<b>Examiner</b> TODD D. JACOBS	<b>Art Unit</b> 3746	

**All Participants:**

(1) TODD D. JACOBS.

(2) CAROL DRUZBICK.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 11 January 2011

**Time:** noon

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*n/a*

Claims discussed:

*1*

Prior art documents discussed:

*n/a*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant and examiner discussed the phrase "at a same round" because examiner wasn't sure how to interpret such a line. It was agreed that the same round indicated the number of round of coil away from the mass part, and that the first and second elastic parts had different pitches on corresponding rounds..